



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/592,791	06/13/2000	Keita Watanabe	3542-0104P	7997
2292	7590 11/22/2004		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			FAULK, DEVONA E	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TALLS CIT	71.C11, 171 22040-0747		2644	_

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			Z			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	09/592,791	WATANABE, KEITA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Devona E. Faulk	2644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/3	13/2000.					
	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S Patent and Trademark Office	·					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.
- 2. Applicant's arguments, filed 9/17/2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 under 112 have been fully considered and are persuasive.
- 3. Applicant's arguments, filed 9/17/2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 3-7 under 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Estevez-Alcolado, and Ohta.
- 4. The objections of claims 8-10 have been withdrawn and newly recited rejections follow.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Estevez-Alcolado et al. (U.S. Patent 5,537,472).

Regarding **claim 1**, Estevez-Alcolado discloses a sound generator for a portable device (Figure 1); a case (12, Figure 3); a sound generating device mounted in the case (20, Figure 2;

Art Unit: 2644

column 3,lines 10-33); a battery (25, Figure 3) mounted on an outside of the case so as to be exposed (Figure 3); terminals provided on the case (inherent, Figure 3); a pair of leads connected a pair of electrodes of the battery with the terminals (inherent, Figure 3).

All elements of claim 2 are comprehended by claim 1 (Figure 3)

All elements of **claim 3** are comprehended by claim 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Estevez-Alcolado et al. (U.S. Patent 5,537,472) in view of Ohta (U.S. Patent 6,208,238).

Claim 4 claims the sound generator of claim 1 wherein the sound-generating device is a buzzer. As stated above apropos of claim 1, Estevez-Alcolado meets all elements of that claim. Therefore Estevez-Alcolado meets all elements of claim 4 with the exception of the claimed matter. Ohta discloses the use of a buzzer (11) in a mobile phone (35) (Figure 7; column 1, lines 6-10; column 4, lines 35-41). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Ohta's concept of a buzzer as the sound generator in order to generate an incoming call indicator tone when the device receives an incoming call.

8. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Estevez-Alcolado et al. (U.S. Patent 5,537,472) in view of Fujiuchi et al. (U.S. Patent 5,844,484).

Art Unit: 2644

Claim 5 claims the sound generator of claim 3 wherein the battery is a disc type battery, and has an upper electrode and lower electrode. As stated above in apropos of claim 3, Estevez-Alcolado meets all elements of that claim. Therefore, Regarding claim 5, Fujiuchi teaches a portable device having a flat battery or button type battery (V), (column 6, line 22) and teaches of the battery having a minus and a plus electrode (column 7, line 47; column 8, line 28) which reads on "the battery is a disc type battery, and has an upper electrode lower electrode". Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Fujiuchi's concept of a disc type battery in a portable device in order to have the capability of providing smaller size devices.

Claim 7 claims the sound generator according to claim 5 wherein the contact plate contacted with the upper electrode is made of a resilient metal plate. Fujiuchi teaches of a positive and negative electrode. An electrode inherently is held in contact with a metallic plate through which electrons arrive from the external circuit. Resilient is defined as capable of withstanding stress without injury. It is interpreted by the examiner that the terminal unit is resilient. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have contact plate made of a resilient in order to better protect the battery.

All elements of claim 8 are comprehended by claim 7.

All elements of claim 9 are comprehended by claim 8.

Claim 10 claims the sound generator of claim 8 wherein each of the terminals comprises a flat metal plate so as to be mounted on a printed circuit board. It is well known in the art to have terminals mounted on a printed circuit board. Thus it would have been obvious to one of

Art Unit: 2644

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the terminals mounted on a printed circuit board in order to make sure that any electronic elements are secure.

9. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Estevez-Alcolado et al. (U.S. Patent 5,537,472) in view of Ohta (U.S. Patent 6,208,238) in view of Fujiuchi et al. (U.S. Patent 5,844,484.

Claim 6 claims the sound generator of claim 4 wherein the terminals comprises a pair of terminals for applying a voltage of a battery to a control circuit, and a pair of terminals for applying a voltage from the control circuit to the buzzer for operating it. Regarding claim 6, Fujiuchi teaches that the battery will supply power to the respective circuits in the box (2) (column 6, line22). Terminals (31 and 33c) are for plus and minus electrode connections of battery V. These features read on "a pair of terminals for applying a voltage of a battery to a control circuit". Terminals are (33a and 33b) are connected to the plus and minus electrodes of the piezoelectric buzzer which reads on "a pair of terminals for applying a voltage from the control circuit to the buzzer for operating it". Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Fujiuchi's concept of a disc type battery in a portable device in order to have the capability of providing smaller size devices.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devona E. Faulk whose telephone number is 703-305-4359. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 5 pm.

Art Unit: 2644

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Forester W. Isen can be reached on 703-305-4386. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DF

FORESTER W. ISEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER